UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,985	12/22/2003	Shui-Ming Cheng	N1085-00168	9060
8933 DUANE MORI	7590 04/17/200 RIS, LLP	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTMENT 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
	IA, PA 19103-4196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/743,985	CHENG ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Laura M. Schillinger</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mark Marcelli</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>13</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant contacted the Examiner because claim 13 was withdrawn and not examined. The Examiner told the Applicant that claim 13 was withdrawn because it properly belonged to specie 2-and was overlooked in the Examiner's restriction requirement and accidentally placed in specie 1 by the Examiner. Claim 13 was therefore withdrawn in the Final Office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Laura M Schillinger/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 28 Examiner's signature, if requi					

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)